UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,622	07/10/2003 Daniel M. Lafontaine		29985/02-332	3366
	7590 08/01/200 THIAS & HULL	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH I	FRANKLIN STREET	YABUT, DIANE D		
SUITE 2350 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/616,622	LAFONTAINE, DANIEL M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DIANE YABUT	3734		

		BINITE TABOT	0704	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2008 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, applicant must timely file application in condition for allowance for Continued Examination (RCE) in periods: 	le one of the following e; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliand	avit, or other evidence, wl e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires	_months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the statutor	y period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejectior	٦.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECT Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of det under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the	CFR 1.136(a). The date ermining the period of ext	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amou	nt of the fee. The appropriat	te extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply re may reduce any earned patent term adjustmer NOTICE OF APPEAL	ceived by the Office later	than three months after the mailing of		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR Notice of Appeal has been filed, an AMENDMENTS	41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed (a) ☑ They raise new issues that w				cause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new n(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or			reducing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claim NOTE: <u>See Continuation Si</u>	-		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compli	ance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-0	Compliant Amendment (P	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the	e following rejection(s):	<u> </u>		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim non-allowable claim(s).		·	•	-
 For purposes of appeal, the proposes how the new or amended claims were the status of the claim(s) is (or will Claim(s) allowed: 	ould be rejected is prov		will be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13,16-29 ar</u>				
Claim(s) withdrawn from considerat AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	.ion:			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed because applicant failed to provide was not earlier presented. See 37	a showing of good and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed entered because the affidavit or oth showing a good and sufficient reason 	er evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/C	THER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration ha	as been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowand	e because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Dis</i>13. ☐ Other:	closure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	-	
/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3731			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments regarding the collapse actuator being configured to assume a deformed profile solely in response to a sufficient proximal force applied to the collapse actuator in claims 1, 26, and 33 require further consideration and search.